## Remarks

The specification is objected to on the grounds that trademarks should be capitalized wherever they appear and be accompanied by generic terminology. In particular, the office action cites to pages 16-17 and 19-21. Applicants have made a good-faith effort to amend the specification in order to meet this requirement. Apart from the amendment made above, Applicant believes that other uses of trademarks in the specification are all capitalized and accompanied by generic terminology. If the Examiner disagrees, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner point to the specific location of the deficiency in the specification.

Claim 16 is pending in the subject application. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 USC § 112, first and second paragraphs. Applicants believe that the amendments to claim 16 obviate this rejection. Claim 16 has been amended to substitute and for and/or. Reconsideration is respectively requested.

Applicant believes that claim 16 is in a condition for allowance, and respectfully asks that a Notice of Allowance be issued. If the Examiner should have any questions, or require any additional information, Applicants ask that the Examiner contact the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

Timothy H. Van Dyke Reg. No. 43,218

Beusse Wolter Sanks Mora & Maire P.A. 390 N. Orange Avenue. Suite 2500

Orlando, FL 32801 Phone: (407) 926-7726